

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/751,252 | 12/30/2003 | Chan Ho Kyung | 2101-3-20 | 3891 | |
| 35884 LEE HONG | 7590 08/11/200 DEGERMAN, KANG | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 660 S. FIGUEROA STREET Suite 2300 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 | | | DUONG | DUONG, FRANK | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , - | 2616 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/11/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| 10/751,252 | KYUNG ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Frank Duong | 2616 | |
| | 10/751,252 Examiner | 10/751,252 KYUNG ET AL. Examiner Art Unit |

| | Frank Duong | 2616 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date vane been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| | t prior to the data of films a brief | | |
| ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown to the properties) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or | ici ioiii ioi appear by materially rec | racing or simplifying to | 16 133063 101 |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | it canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an e | planation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20 and 27-30. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Frank Duong/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2616 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would add newly limitations of "the MNC has a first length or a second length such that the first length is greater than the second length," and "the MNC has a length equal to the first length or the second length "to claims 1-15, 27, 30; "length of the MNC is equal to the first length or a second length which is greater than the first length," and "the MNC having a length equal to the second length" to claims 16-20, and "length of the MNC is equal to a first length, which is greater than a second length," and "the indicator field is set to a first legic level" to claims 28-29. As a result, the proposed amendment would change the scope of the invention originally claimed and previous prosecuted. Thus, the proposed amendment will not be entered because it requires further consideration and/or search.